App. F. IX-4

1935. (SHA)

File No. 446-35
Serial Nos. 1-2

Previous References

L.A. 148-33 (1-2)
SHA 111-35-1
" 1-28 (11, 19, 20, 26

Letter References.

SHA 303-35 (1-2)
SHA 45-44 (1-2)
SHA Dep 11/48

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Two

Part B for Feb 36

SUBJECT.

Re Certain doubts in the application of Note to Art 479 Regs. and of Art 486 Regs. R.W. in the class of accommodation to be provided in a Railway with two Classes —

(2)

No H 6451 & 27th Feb 36

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

To the Comptroller

I A Secs docs ---

Serial No. 1

Letter no 227/T.a Dt 8.1.1936 from the Comptroller requesting orders re. the class of accommodation to be provided in a Railway with two classes, to Officers on Salary between Rs 100 and Rs 150/: and who are allowed to travel in Second Class as a special case or under certain Conditions.

The general rule is that Officers on Salary of Rs 100 to Rs 149/: are entitled to travel in Intermediate Class if accommodation in that class is available or else in the third class. Where there are only two classes of accommodation in a Railway ~~in~~ the rule is that such Officers on Salary of Rs 100/: to Rs 149/: are entitled to travel in the lower class only.

The following may be considered to be two exceptions to the above general rule

(1) It has been ordered recently that where there is no Interclass accommodation such Officers ~~are~~ x

) Art. 479 m.SR. Note 2 thereto
) Article 486 m.SR.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Salary of Rs 100 to Rs 149/- may travel in Second class and get one and three sevenths second class fare (which is nearly equal to 1½ ~~second class fare~~ Interclass fare) Vide G.O. no Fl 9261-320 S&A 580-34-1 Dd 27.6.1935 Flag H.

(2) The Deputy Amildars whose pay is from Rs 100 to Rs 125 have been allowed as a special case to travel in Second class, Vide G.O. no R 4000-10 d.R. 268-33-2 Dd 10.2.1934 Flag I.

The Comptroller's doubt is whether these officers mentioned in the two exceptions above are to be allowed to travel in the Upper or the Lower class in a Railway with two classes of accommodation only. As an answer to this point of doubt it may be held that their claim to travel in the Second class having been recognised they may be allowed to travel in the Upper class in a Railway with two classes of accommodation. It may also be held that [illegible]

Serial No.

general rule these Officers are entitled to travel in the ~~lower~~ lowest class, ~~and~~ that they have been allowed to travel in the second class under certain circumstances or as a special Concession and that there is no reason why they should be allowed to travel in the highest class on a Railway with two classes of accommodation. In such a case the general rule in note 2 to Art. 479 viz higher class for Officers on Rs 150 and above and lower class to others should apply. In fact this rule is now being applied and the audit Office has been allowing lower class fares only to the Officers ~~on salary~~ referred to in the two exceptions. If it is now ordered to allow them the higher class fare this would result in extra cost to Govt. £

A For these reasons the Comptroller will be informed if approved, that the present practice of allowing lower class fare to them may continue

There is another point in the ~~Comptr~~

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Comptroller's letter for decision. It would appear that certain officers who are entitled to travel in Inter class where such accommodation is available claim 1½ Second class under the provisions of G.O. no Fl 9261-3 & S&A 580-34-1 dt 27.6.1935 (Flag A) on the ground that the particular train in which they performed the journey did not contain interclass accommodation. In such a case the Audit office has been admitting only Single III Class and ½ Interclass Ry fare. The Comptroller solicits approval to this procedure.

In such a case a distinction should perhaps be made. If for any reason no Interclass Carriage is attached at all to the particular train and if the officer could produce a certificate to that effect from a proper authority then he ~~has a right~~ may be regarded as having ~~allowed to travel~~ a right to travel

Certain doubts in the T-a-rules.

2768/H.

General and Revenue Secretariat S&A File No. 446 of 193 5 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

in Second class and claim 1 3/7 Second class. If an Interclass Carriage is attached to the train and the Officer could not get accommodation therein then it is his own fault and he cannot claim a right to travel in the Second class. In such a case the audit Office pro practice to allow Single third class and ½ Interclass Railway fare may be continued This is for orders.

13.2.36.

A, above and the conclusions in the last para may perhaps be approved.

H Seey) 13.2.36.

pay at 1 3/7

13/2/36.

The question raised by the Comptr about the persons travelling in the T.N. Tramway is more of an academic character and is not of much practical importance — as the number of persons travelling therein will be very few. I would therefore state that the Note 2 to article 479 M&SR may remain as it is and the present practice may be continued as stated at 'A' above —

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Some reasons could be given for changing it also but this may be taken up if and when any of the affected parties (who are practically none) raise it —

As regards the

Certain doubts in T. A. Rules

27/6/36

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

Second question raised by him, if a person travels in a Train in which inter class accommodation is not provided he must be given 1st/3rd (II)? class fare as per article 136 of S.R.

20/2

20/2/36

A draft reply to the Comptroller is put up for approval of the Fe: Secy

MW
24/2

24.2.36.

24/2

Issued
MW
24/2

No Fl 6451 dt 27.2.36 to the Comptroller

2 FEB. 1936

2/3

2.3.36.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section S+A
File No. 446-35
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 6457

To The Comptroller

Sir

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

With reference to your letter no 227/T.a D/8.1.1936 re certain doubts in the application of note to Art. 479 M&SR and of Art. 486 M.S.R I am directed to state as follows:

1) The present practice of applying note 2 to Art. 479 M&SR. to Deputy Amildars and other Officers on pay of Rs 100/- ~~to~~ and less than Rs 150/- who are allowed to travel in Second Class as a Special

Date of issue 27/2

Signed by M' KV.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 03601—GPB—30,000—23-9-35

Care or under certain conditions, and giving them only lower class fare in a Railway with two classes of accommodation, is all right & may be continued.

2) When an Officer on a Salary of Rs 100/- and less than Rs 150/- travels in a train in which Inter-class accommodation is not provided for & could travel in the Second class and should be allowed 1 3/4 second class fare as per Art 486 M&R. If Inter class accommodation is provided for in a train but the Officer could not avail of a berth therein he is entitled to 1½ times the Inter class fare even though he travelled by the Second class.

The question of giving a third class fare and Inter class fare as suggested by you would arise only when the Officer stated that he travelled in the third class for want of accommodation in the Inter class. If I have to

for [illegible]

S+A. 446-35-1

In reply please quote reference to No. 227/TA

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 6th January 193 6.

FINANCIAL 2768 11 JAN. 1936 GEN'L & REV. SECTT.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 10 JAN. 36 No.

FROM

A. N. RAGHAVACHAR, ESQ., M.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

S. Shamanna Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that officers whose salary is Rs 150/- or more but less than Rs 250/- are entitled to travel by Rail in Second Class where there are more than two classes of accommodation and to the Upper Class Railway accommodation over Railways where there are only two classes of accommodation Vide Art.479 of M.S.R.

Due to abolition of the Inter-class accommodation on Mysore Railways with effect from 1st June 1935, the officers whose salary is Rs 100/- or more but is less than Rs 150/- are entitled to travel in Second Class wherever Inter Class accommodation is not available and claim 1 3/7 Second Classfare for the Railway journey and Art.486 (a) M.S.R. as amended by Government Order No.Fi.1036/S.A 111-35-1 dated 29-7-1935.

Further the Deputy Amildars whose salaries are fixed in the grade Rs 100-5-125 are entitled to travel in Second Class and draw the fare of that class as per Govt Order No.4000/10/L.R.268-33-2 dated 10-3-34. As per Note 2 to Art.479 M.S.R. the Deputy Amildars and all officers whose salary is Rs 100/- or more but is less than Rs 150/- have to be allowed only Lower Class Railwayfare for journeys over Mysore Railways where only two classes of accommodation exist.

The

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 6451 SA 446-35-2 *Bangalore, Dated* ~~27th Feby. 1936.~~

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Comptroller to Government,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.227/T.A. dated 8th January 1936 re: certain doubts in the application of note to Article 479 M.S.R. and of Article 486 M.S.R. I am directed to state as follows.

1) The present practice of applying note 2 to Article 479 M.S.R. to Deputy Amildars and other officers on pay of Rs.100/- and less than Rs.150/- who are allowed to travel in second class as a special case or under certain conditions, and giving them only lower class fare in a railway with two classes of accommodation, is all right and may be continued.

2) When an officer on a salary of Rs.100/- and less than Rs.150/- travels in a train in which Inter-class accommodation is not provided for he could travel in the second class and should be allowed $1\frac{3}{7}$ second class fare as per Article 486 M.S.R. If Inter-class accommodation is provided for in a train but the officer could not avail of a berth therein he is entitled to 1½ times the Interclass fare even though he travelled by the second class.

The question of giving a third class fare and half Inter class fare as suggested by you would arise only when the officer stated that he travelled in the third class for want of accommodation in the Interclass.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. Venkata Rao
For Financial Secretary to Government.

27.xii.36
Vol. 3.